CRITICAL ANALYSIS OF PEST CONTROL IN L’AQUILA (ABRUZZO, ITALY) AFTER EARTHQUAKE
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Abstract This is a summary of the operating system for pests management in the L’Aquila area during the seven months following the earthquake (06/04/2009 - 31/10/2009). The management system involved both purely technical aspects (equipment, pest control products, field operations, etc) and the more human aspects (comfort, reassurance, playing down of the fears and anxieties that pests can cause in earthquake victims). Pests are in fact both a public health risk and a source of psychological distress. Pest control activities aimed to achieve the following objectives: reduce the population density of pests in the area and reduce the risk of possible vector-transmitted diseases.

The 395 pest control operations carried out in this zone enabled us to evaluate our professionalism in an extremely difficult situation. Specific training of the workers involved in these operations and post-action de-briefing have been done.

This experience has provided a motivating force to direct our efforts towards specialisation in non-epidemic emergencies.
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INTRODUCTION

This report is a summary of the operating system for management of pests (Bonnefoy et al., 2008) in the L’Aquila area in the seven months following the earthquake (06/04/2009 - 31/10/2009). The management system involved both purely technical aspects (equipment, pest control products, field operations) and the more human side (comfort, reassurance, playing down of the fears and anxieties that pests can cause in earthquake victims) (Axia G., 2006). Pests are in fact both a public health risk and a source of psychological distress. Pest control activities aimed to achieve the following objectives: reduce the population density of pests in the area and reduce the risk of possible vector-transmitted diseases (Thomson, 1995).

Participants and Instruments

The Servizio Veterinario di Sanità Animale [Animal Health Veterinary Service] assisted by workers from the L’Aquila Azienda Servizi Municipalizzati [Municipal Services Agency] (ASM SpA) were the main participants in the pest control activities. Between two and 6 workers were involved in activities at any one time. IZS A&M provided a continuous critical evaluation service aimed at optimising field operations.

It should not be forgotten that local staff were both victims of the earthquake and irreplaceable workers, thanks to their experience of the affected area.

The following vehicles were used: n° 2 4x4 lorries (Bonetti), n°. 1 pick-up (Land Rover), n°. 1 pick-up (Fiorino) with sprayer system, n°. 2 vehicles fitted with flashing lights. The insecticides included pyrethroids (Permex 22E, Cipex 10E), while anticoagulants (granules and lumps) were used for the rodenticides (Brodimax, Brodiblue). Rat boxes were used to distribute rodent bait.

Independent pest control activities were carried out by the regional Civil Protection agencies of Emilia Romagna (12) and Veneto (pers. com.) or by non-profit organisations such as Misericordia of Florence (pers.com.), not always under the supervision of the L’Aquila Public Health Veterinary Service.
Systems For Pest Notification by the Earthquake Population

The choice of means of communication is of the utmost importance in an area hit by a natural disaster. After the L’Aquila earthquake, the only means available was the mobile phone, which was effective in all circumstances thanks to potentiation of the network capacity. A single 24-hour number was given to the various mixed operational centres (COMs), the emergency shelters and the command and control directorate (DL.COMA.C) and, once they had reopened, to the AUSL [Local Health Authority Unit] switchboard and to the emergency services (118). The attempt to keep a record of requests received by fax from the shelters to the respective COMs and from there to the Service was found to be extremely slow, due to delays in transfers of paper records.

Records of interventions were assured by their prompt (immediate) entry in the Animal Health Veterinary Service activities register, kept on-site alongside the material and vehicle store. The creation of intervention files, correlated with the field files as they were prepared, enabled optimisation of the system and the rational organisation of interventions. The concentration of calls to the 24-hour number could only be managed thanks to the continuous presence and availability guaranteed by the Service Director.

The decision to provide an immediate response to notifications was determined by the considerable importance of the psychological aspect in the perception of the problem and the prompt response thereto. This both comforted the public and was found to be effective (Axia G., 2006; De Vincentis M., 2002).

Continuous monitoring of interventions enabled the protocol to be planned and activated in all 175 emergency shelters and in the historic centres, including in areas from which no reports had been received.

AREAS OF ACTION

Historic Centres
Characterised by the presence of difficult-to-access rubble (often containing rotting foods). The largest no-go area was in L’Aquila (4 km²), while the over 50 historic centres affected around L’Aquila and in nearby towns were much smaller (around ½ km²), even though the access problems were similar. The pest control teams in these situations consisted of the smallest possible number of people, equipped with suitable personal protective equipment (PPE). The presence of the Fire Brigade was obligatory.

Tent Cities - Emergency Shelters / Camps
In the L’Aquila area alone, 175 tent cities with canteens and sanitary services were set up; the largest housed 1700 people and covered 30,000 m². The average size was 10,000 m² with an average of 250 residents.

Closure of the camps began at the end of September and has reached 70% of the total. Each emergency shelter was managed by Civil Protection volunteers under the supervision of the shelter manager. The volunteer group generally turned over every week, causing difficulty in transferring previously imparted information and instructions.

Public Facilities
When possible, the public facilities destroyed in many of the areas affected by the earthquake were replaced with facilities prepared ex novo. These facilities were found to have different problems and needs; requests for interventions were prioritised according to the number of people involved (employees and users). It is difficult to describe a typical public facility, as they varied from Portakabins used as schools to university libraries, from barracks to streets and open areas.

Private buildings
Various members of public also requested help with problems related to the presence of pests in their homes (both accessible and inaccessible). In this case, priority was given to interventions in inhabited areas and situations which might pose a risk to public health.

MOST IMPORTANT PESTS

Rodents
Historic centres. The first rodent control plan was activated on 24/04/2009 in L’Aquila historic centre, a large, difficult area. Work was carried out alongside the fire brigade with 2 teams of 3 people proceeding on foot from south to north on parallel routes (50 m apart) along accessible streets inside the walls of the city. Rat boxes
were placed at the critical points (vicinity of attractive foods, drains and sewers, etc) and marked on a map. To enable subsequent controls. Various preparations were used as bait: loose granules, sachets, pellets, toffees. It was not considered necessary to position “rodent control area” signs, as public access to no-go areas was strictly prohibited and the rat boxes were printed with the required warning. 320 rat boxes were put down in the L’Aquila historic centre. A much lower number of baits were placed in the surrounding areas and nearby towns.

Emergency shelters. There were constant reports of the presence of rodents, with widespread and repeated requests for action, all promptly dealt with within 24-48 hours.

All inspections revealed occasional, sporadic infestations. The consumption of rat bait resulted in the movement of rats from the outside towards the centre of the tent cities. Most of the bait was taken from the sides of the shelters bordering a water course or open country. A slightly but constantly higher concentration was found near the kitchens/canteens. The rat boxes were placed accordingly, prioritising any tents reporting signs, the confines of the shelters and the kitchens.

Various types of baits (paraffin-based and other) were distributed in between 5 and 10 boxes per shelter. In some emergency cases, plastic tubes for use in the construction industry were used instead of the rat boxes.

Public facilities. Most reports were made by employees, who had to work in extremely precarious environmental conditions and a delicate psychological condition. Here too, actions were taken within 24 hours (maximum 48 hours), during working hours to maximise their visibility and thus their power of reassurance. In contrast, in schools boxes were placed when no children were present, to avoid excessive curiosity and disruption of lessons, in areas that could be controlled by teachers.

Private buildings. Numerous actions were carried out, mainly in inaccessible buildings. In these cases, access was carried out under the supervision of the Fire Brigade and using PPE. The only large-scale infestations were found in private homes. In all cases the entry point was from the sewers through the lavatory, which had generally been left without water. Once the baits had been placed the entry/escape points were closed.

House Flies
The problem of synanthropic flies (Musca domestica) is generally season-dependent. In the days immediately after the earthquake, the adverse meteorological conditions initially limited the problem. The first intervention, to sanitise the morgue at the Guardia di Finanza’s School at Coppito (AQ), took place on the day of the earthquake itself, 06/04/2009. Two vehicles were used to spray high pressure pyrethroids and disinfectants, one after the other, on the inner and outer surfaces of an enormous hangar (over 2500 m²) in prefabricated concrete. This activity took up all the Service’s resources until 05/04/2009, at which point the hangar was given over to another use.

The weather improved in early May and interventions began in the emergency shelters on 05/05/2009, helped by the end of the rain. No fly control was needed in the historic centres, except in various stables and farms, in which it was possible to work in daylight.

In closed public facilities and private buildings, pressurised sprayers and an electric mini-sprayer were used. These were again the areas where the most severe infestations were found: large flats containing rotting food enabled massive colonies to build up.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PEST/MONTH</th>
<th>April</th>
<th>May</th>
<th>June</th>
<th>July</th>
<th>August</th>
<th>September</th>
<th>October</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. RODENTS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. FLIES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. TICKS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. LOCUSTS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. MOSQUITOES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. WASPS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. COCKROACHES AND ANTS</td>
<td>Sporadic appearances throughout the reference period</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The method used for disinfestation was essentially that recommended for the use of insecticides in public areas (Pampiglione and Davanzo, 2007): Communication of the problem by the shelter manager; confirmation that the requested intervention would be scheduled within 24-48 hours; preliminary land clearance, if necessary (c/o ASM); warnings issued to the affected population; intervention (after 11:30 p.m., when most people had gone to bed); use of one vehicle with a flashing light and one lorry with a sprayer; presence of the supervisor and 2 workers; dilutions of pyrethroids to 2-3%; complete spraying of relevant tents, services and areas; mean consumption of 150 L of solution per emergency shelter; mean intervention time in emergency shelters: 3-4 hours per night; activities halted at 3 a.m.; vehicle and sprayer maintenance the following morning at the ASM garage.

Mosquitoes
Mosquitoes (Culex spp., Aedes spp) were controlled using the same procedures as for flies; the only difference was the seasonal and environmental conditions under which the problem arose. Clearly, vicinity to water courses and damp areas is a known risk factor, and reports also increased during the summer. No tiger mosquitoes (Aedes albopictus) were encountered.

Ticks
The ticks found locally (Rhipicephalus spp., Ixodes spp., Dermacentor marginatus, Haemaphysalis puntata, Hyalomma marginatum) (Cringoli, 2008) presented the first potential risk of infestation due to the high number of animals in the area. The use of pyrethroids distributed by pressurised sprayer proved effective, and contrary to expectations, there were few reported episodes. The problem was mainly reported in small historic centres. The public was given 24 hours’ notice of pest control interventions, which were carried out in the daytime.

Locusts
The area affected by the earthquake suffered an invasion of locusts of between 7 and 10 cm in length with characteristic brown markings (Decticus albifrons). The swarm was first reported on 15/07/2009 and affected a public service (the new site of the courts), with a thick carpet of insects on the surrounding areas and walls. The psychological repercussions were very strong and the event was reported in both the local and national press. A similar episode had never been reported in the past. At the insistence of the court managers the infestation was dealt with using pyrethroids, but this was the only treatment carried out against locusts. In fact, pyrethroids are generally ineffective against these insects but tend to aggravate the problem, as they delay migration and prolong the locusts’ stay in the affected area. There is also the problem of removing the dead insects and possible secondary poisoning of non-target organisms, such as birds.

Over the following days the swarm’s progression was traced from east to west along the river Aterno for about 30 km. It finally disappeared in the direction of Antrodoco. The mountainous terrain modified the route taken by the insects. The course of the event was standard, with reports ceasing after about 15-20 days. The locusts stayed between 4 and 10 days in each of the affected areas.

Wasps
Wasps (Vespula germanica, Polistes gallicus, Vespa cabro) were the pest most often reported during the hottest weeks, especially in the emergency shelters. Spraying with pyrethroids was ineffective and it was necessary to carry out a systematic search for the nests (tent connections, rooftops) and treat them intensively with a pressurised sprayer. The correct management of water and damp waste, which are extremely attractive to this type of insect, was essential in controlling the problem. A limited number of people suffered wasp stings. There were no serious cases.

Cockroaches and Ants
Although present in almost all areas, these insects did not produce any real problems. Cockroaches (Blatta orientalis, Blattella germanica) were initially found in the field kitchens by the drains. A few interventions with spray preparations (outside) or gel (inside) resolved the problem. Ants (Tetramorium caespitum, Monomorium pharaonis, Linepithema (Iridomyrmex) umile, Crematogaster scutellaris, etc.) caused more irritation than cockroaches, as they invaded the tents.

CRITICAL ANALYSIS OF PEST CONTROL ACTIVITY

Safety Premises in Use of Pesticides
Information of workers is compulsory. Personnel must be correctly informed and trained (verbally, written signs, etc) of any hazards related to the products used (Pampiglione and Davanzo, 2007) and how to solve critical issues.
The correct use of Personal Protection Equipment (PPE) is part of the training of workers. Well equipped workers will transmit to the people feelings of a well organized, safe, effective and in general well done pest control activity. Moreover Pest Control Operators (PCO) health and safety greatly advantage from PPE use, not to recall about legal issues (Pampiglione and Davanzo, 2007).

**Rats.** Earth disturbance due to the earthquake and by diggers working on building remains undoubtedly forced the local rat populations to reassess over the country. This results in middle to local area dispersion of rats family groups. Most infested area was in downtown in the east of the city. The “Collemaggio” historical lower urban areas near the ancient sewer system was severely infested by *Rattus norvegicus* and allied rodents. Regarding the traps and baits it was impossible to place them in manholes because of detritus street general coverage. Moreover we found the available paraffin-based baits to be unattractive in these cases. To clear camp borders from grasses, bushed and similar rats shelters was found of paramount important to discourage rats to visit the tents area. Mechanical land clearance and camp perimeter (3-5 m out-border) cleaning is here recognized as a key factor in rat control success. Rubbish bags management greatly took advantages by above-ground bag storage (40-50 cm height) above ground and by a far from the tents placement. Providing correct and up-to-date information to the Officers and workers engaged in camps management greatly enhanced the effectiveness of the control and the quality of the service thus resulting a quite professional rat control action.

**Flies.** Inhabitants often consider fly (mainly *Musca domestica*) control intrusive. Consequently there is a need to be both decisive and convincing during operations and, above all, politeness is a must. In the case the presence of the local Health Officer well known by persons who can provide comprehensive explanations is quite useful. PPE must be used (facemask with filters), but understated in order to avoid toxicity concerns over the population about the sprayed insecticides. The insecticide stream angle should be over 45° to avoid tents and objects wetting. Spraying should always take account of rain and wind direction especially by Private Pest Control Companies (PPCC) engaged in flies management. These PPCC sometimes failed to be checked by Public Health Officers. In the event of rain, re-treatment will be needed. Treatment of wooded areas around the camps is useful. Mind that Pyrethroids are extremely toxic to water fauna.

One must be extremely careful when treating areas near watercourses or lakes (Bonnefoy et al., 2008), washing out machines or disposing empty insecticide bottles. Fly purposely-made baited food traps are recommended for control due their effectiveness and low environmental impact.

**Mosquitoes.** Land clearance and proper disposal of tire-like waste was a key point in mosquito control. Mosquito traps placement (e.g. BG-Sentinel) would be interesting, to evaluate the population, the species on the land and the breeding sites (Rose et al., 2008). The latter in order to provide focused insecticide spraying.

**Ticks.** We suggest spraying insecticide on grasses and bushes one meter down to the ground and directing the insecticide stream from top to bottom. This will prevent ticks to escape from the biocide action that also repels them. It is compulsory to educate people how to self-inspect, correctly self-remove ticks and to preserve the specimens for identification. The latter is essential to recognize possible vector of disease in time for prophylaxis.

**Locusts.** *Decticus albifrons* is considered an autochthonic species. Swarms are known in various areas of Italy and should be considered completely normal. The psychologists and volunteers successfully transformed the disinfestation into a game, and also recounted their experiences in tropical countries where the phenomenon is very frequent. No damage to fruit or vegetable crops or hayfields was reported.

**Wasps.** Water drainage is strongly suggested near taps, washbasins and containers of moist waste matters; taps and washbasins should be located on a side of the camp far from canteen, kitchen and food distribution, meeting areas. Automatic food & drink dispensers should be avoided because they attract wasps and increase the risk of being stung. Wasp control by purposely-made baited food traps are recommended for effectiveness and low environmental impact.

**Psychological Observations**

Pest management of has two-way psychological faces that should not be undervalued. The presence and help of a suitably informed professional, such as a disaster psychologist, can support both the population affected by the disaster and professionals involved in pest control activities after the earthquake (1, 4).

Public assistance can be provided both: 1) By a psychological support in the management of communications during emergencies. Such communication links the Officers to people: in fact, phenomena such as uncontrolled panic and the social exaggeration of the risk can be avoided only through the application of suitable techniques and instruments and psychoeducational activities aimed at trauma management through knowledge of behavioural reactions. Phenomena such as these would have a severe impact on emergency operations, giving rise to rumour
and uncontrollable psychosocial and management risks, and 2) By support in the management of behavioural reactions, beginning from knowledge of the basic elements that must be transmitted to the affected population concerning emotional reactions to the earthquake, experiences related to the emergency, feelings of intrusion triggered by pest control operations and the fears and anxieties that pests can cause in the earthquake victims.

In these cases a multidisciplinary (seismological, veterinarian, entomological, psychological) approach is desirable (Axia, 2006; De Vincentis, 2002) helping the affected population to understand what has happened in the overall context of dangerousness and risk, providing information, resources and strategies to help emotional and psychosocial behavioural adaptation after the earthquake.

CONCLUSIONS

The 395 pest control operations carried out in L’Aquila enabled us to evaluate our skills in an extremely difficult situation. Specific training of the involved workers and debriefing would also be useful in similar further situations (De Vincentis, 2002).

This experience has provided us an opportunity to specialize towards specialization in non-epidemic emergencies.
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